1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE COMPANY, Case No.: 1: 23-cv-1548 JLT EPG 11 12 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION 13 v. FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT 14 AGRIGENIX, LLC, et al., (Docs. 25, 33) 15 Defendants. 16 Scottsdale Insurance Company seeks the entry of default judgment against Agrigenix, LLC and 17 Sean Mahoney, seeking "a default declaratory judgment stating that it has no obligation to provide 18 19 indemnity coverage for any damages awarded to [Defendant Deerpoint] in Deerpoint Group, Inc. v. 20 Agrigenix, LLC., et al., USDC Eastern District, Case No. 1:18-cv-00536-AWI-BAM." (Doc. 25 at 2.) 21 While issuing her findings and recommendations, the magistrate judge observed that Deerpoint filed an answer and counterclaims against Scottsdale. (Doc. 33 at 3, citing Doc. 7.) Because Plaintiff 22 23 asserts the same claims against Deerpoint as the defaulting defendants, the magistrate judge found it 24 was "inappropriate to grant default judgment to Plaintiff based on the failure of Agrigenix and 25 Mahoney to appear and defend in this case." (Id. at 5.) Therefore, the magistrate judge recommended 26 the motion for default judgment be denied without prejudice. (*Id.* at 6.) 27 The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on the parties and notified Plaintiff that 28 any objections were due within 14 days. (Doc. 33 at 6.) The Court advised Plaintiff that the "failure to

Case 1:23-cv-01548-JLT-EPG Document 41 Filed 08/08/24 Page 2 of 2

1	file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of rights on appeal." (<i>Id.</i> , citing	
2	Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014).) Plaintiff did not file objections, and the	
3	time to do so has passed.	
4	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court performed a <i>de novo</i> review of this case.	
5	Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations are	
6	supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court ORDERS :	
7	1.	The Findings and Recommendations dated July 22, 2024 (Doc. 33) are ADOPTED in
8		full.
9	2.	Plaintiff's motion for default judgment (Doc. 25) is DENIED without prejudice.
10		
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	Dated: A	August 8, 2024 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13		V
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19 20		
20		
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$		
23		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		